

NSDUH Redesign

Joel Kennet, Joe Gfroerer Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, SAMHSA

Presentation at CES Redesign Conference July 17, 2012





Overview of NSDUH

- Annual household survey of civilian, noninstitutionalized population aged 12 and older
- Provides national, state, and substate estimates of substance abuse and mental health issues



Current NSDUH Sample Design

- 7,200 segments; 140,000 dwelling units (DUs)
- 68,000 respondents each year, representative nationally and in each State
 - n=3,600 in 8 large states, n=900 in other states
- Oversampling of young people
 - 1/3 of sample in each age group: 12-17, 18-25, 26+



NSDUH Data Collection

- 12-month data collection period
- "Dear Resident" letters mailed to DUs
- FI visits DUs, does 5 min. screener
- Select 0, 1, or 2 HH members
- Face-to-face interview (1 hr., mainly ACASI)
- \$30 given to each participant



Why Redesign?

 Update questionnaire and sample design

 Implement more efficient data collection and estimation methods



Redesign Plan

Assess data needs

Contact States, other data users to determine what data are needed

Methods research and development

- Explore various design alternatives in terms of cost and impact on data quality and analytic capability
- Assess effects on estimates, and whether redesign could be done with a split sample to "bridge" old and new estimates
- Field test promising data collection methods
- Present plan to key stakeholders to gain acceptance
- Implement new sample design in 2014; Qx in 2015



Methods Studies-Sampling

USPS Frame Study

 Field test using frame constructed from residential mailing lists

Sample Issues Study

- Determine optimal cluster sizes
- Assess pros and cons of biennial survey
- Assess impact of changing sampling rates for demographic and geographic groups
- Develop more flexible sample design
- Assess feasibility of interviewing children under 12
- Investigation of Census/ACS Options
 - Assess the use of the ACS for sampling, small area estimation, and weighting activities



Methods Studies- Estimation

Imputation and Editing Evaluation

• Evaluating different methods to simplify procedures and reduce processing time

Weighting Assessment

• Examining different predictor variables to improve weighting adjustments

Small Area Estimation

 Studying ways to estimate change and trends more efficiently and evaluate the quality of substate estimates



Methods Studies-Response Rates

Contact Materials Study

 Develop improved informational documents that respondents receive (lead letter, study description, question and answer brochure, etc.)



Methods Studies-Questionnaire

- Electronic Pill Cards/Calendar Study
 - Develop on-screen prescription pill photos and reference date calendar; assess usability
- Debriefing Questions/Persuasive Statement Study
 - Test whether reinforcing confidentiality and requesting honesty improves responses
 - Assess usefulness of FI and respondent debriefing questions (e.g., privacy, comprehension, etc.) as indicators of data quality.

Methods Studies-Questionnaire

Questionnaire Structure Study

- Test "ensemble" vs. "interleafed" format
- Explore moving more questions to core

Clinical Validation Phase II

 Compare Substance Dependence and Abuse module to a structured clinical interview

Prescription Drug Module Redesign

- Update definitions for nonmedical use and therapeutic classes
- Incorporate new drugs
- Move Methamphetamine out of prescription drugs
- Develop better trend measurement method



Other Relevant Studies

- Mode/setting/context effects studies
 - Effects can be large for sensitive data
- Impact of incentives on reporting
 - Significant positive effect found in 2002 along with reduction in overall cost
- Interviewer Effects Analysis
 - Interviewer experience correlates negatively with respondent reporting of drug use



Schedule for NSDUH Redesign

- November 2007 November 2008: Develop background materials, plan methods tests, receive input from subjectmatter experts & other data users
- June 2008 September 2011: Conduct methods studies, develop and modify questionnaire content
- May 2010 April 2011: Mailing list field test, conduct focus groups, usability testing, and cognitive interviewing
- May 2011: SAMHSA approval of redesign plan
- August 2011 January 2012: Cognitive testing of new questionnaire
- Sept 2012 Nov 2012: Questionnaire field test data collection
- June 2013 August 2013: Dress rehearsal data collection
- January 2014 New sample design begins
- January 2015: New questionnaire begins



Dilemma: Change the Measure or Measure Change?

We would like to:

- Maintain valid trend data-high priority
- Update questionnaire
 - Data priorities change
 - New phenomena
- Update methodology
 - Improve data quality
 - Incorporate better methods
- Reduce Costs
 - Future budgets unknown



New NSDUH Design: Sampling

- Age, state sample reallocation (2014)
 - Improve precision, efficiency; reduce cost
- Increase cluster size in some states (2014)
 - Cost savings with little loss in precision
- Continue field counting and listing for now
 - Uncertainties about cost and coverage with address listbased
- No change in target population



New NSDUH Design: Instrumentation

- No change in data collection methods
- Update/improve contact materials (2015)
- Maintain \$30 incentive
- New questionnaire (2015)
 - Nearly identical for cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, cocaine, inhalants, hallucinogens, heroin
 - Major revision for prescription drugs
 - A few topics added, deleted, based on new priorities
 - No change in dependence/abuse—wait for DSM-V



Improvements to Prescription Drug Module

- Update drugs covered (every year)
- Methamphetamine moved to a separate module
- On-screen pictures of pills
- Probe past year use/misuse of specific drugs
- Separate components of "nonmedical" use:



Partial NSDUH Redesign

Pros

- Might fix most egregious problems, e.g., prescription drug use, methamphetamine use
- Most likely no break in trends for alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, cocaine

Cons/risks

- Will still result in break in trends for some measures
- Some questionnaire improvements not made
- Possibility of break in trends for all drugs due to context effects, contact materials, or sample design (e.g., impact on field staff)

Contact info

joel.kennet@samhsa.hhs.gov

• joe.gfroerer@samhsa.hhs.gov

