## REPORT ON BLS CONFERENCE ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIR DESIGN

Judith Tanur State University of New York at Stony Brook

Before turning to a specific report on the BLS Conference I would like to address an over-arching issue, one side of which represents a fear on my part, and the other side a major hope. The fear is that we at the conference, those at BLS, and all those working in the field of Cognitive Aspects of Survey Methodology`will be reduced to putting old wine in new bottles. The issue here is that the problems that we addressed at the conference, that the CPS and CE surveys bring up, and that the CASM movement in general deals with, are problems that survey researchers have been aware of and have been wrestling with for a long time. So if all we do is call old problems by new names, then we are indulging ourselves in faddishness and are unlikely to make any but sporadic and ragged progess towards the solutions of these problems. On the other hand, I do believe that cognitive psychology has a great deal to offer to survey research. We have already discovered that the thinking of cognitive psychologists sensitizes survey researchers to look at old problems in new ways. Further, we have already found that a good deal of the methodology of cognitive psychology is transferrable to survey research and can help us investigate problems that we have long noted and that long concerned us. So I am quite sure that there is important incremental progress.

But I would hope that all of this can go a giant step further — that the concepts and the conceptual framework of cognitive psychology can help us organize our investigations into the problems of survey research in a more systematic way than has heretofore been possible. We all know that there are many questions that need to be answered, and many fronts upon which research can advance, but very little time and very scarce resources to carry out these researches. Thus I would hope that cognitive psychologists could help point us to a coherent program of research which will let us set priorities for basic research that will generalize and be applicable across surveys, agencies, time, and space.

How could we make some first steps toward constructing that framework? I do not think it is possible to do that in a conference such as the one just convened, for while such a conference is very useful in turning up ideas, I do not think it is useful for the kind of concentrated systematic thinking that is needed for such an over-arching project. I wonder if it would be possible to support a senior cognitive psychologist for a hunk of time to prepare a report that might embody such an over-arching framework. Surely the background papers for the Committee on National Statistics' CASM seminar on the contributions that cognitive psychology can make to survey research would be a starting point. But those papers were meant as orientation rather than as research programs. While a review of the literature would be part of the proposed framework paper, it seems to me that it should go further and actually lay out and evaluate for feasibility the broad areas of research where cognitive psychology can inform surveys.

As I turn to a report of the conference itself, let me note that I shall make little effort to summarize the entire conference, or even to make comments on all the ideas that came up there. In general I shall present ideas that occurred to me before the conference as I read over the materials provided and went through the interviews, ideas that struck me as especially interesting during the conference (though I am delighted that you have the tape that archives all of the discussion for I am sure that memory serves none of the participants well enough to make these reports exhaustive) and ideas that occurred to me in the days since the conference took place. I hope this plan meets the expectations for the report required in the "contract".

## Consumer Expenditure Survey

I think the most exciting idea that came out of the conference was the idea of eliminating the quarterly interview for the Consumers Expenditure Survey altogether. At one stroke this rids BLS of problems engendered by lengthy recall periods and the need to experiment to find optimum recall and thus frees resources to gather auxillary data at the time of diary pickup. Careful thought would have to be devoted to both the form and content of the questions soliciting this auxilliary information so that the survey would continue to supply data (but, I believe, more accurate data) on the kinds of major expenditures that are now collected in the quarterly interviews. The cognitive laboratory would be a useful setting for investigating how people think about, categorize, estimate, and recall such major expenditures. This switch-over would be a long-term project. For the moment, it seems to me, that a practically no-cost innovation that would make it possible to progress \ toward evaluating the usefulness of the diary would be to instruct interviewers to routinely flag those items that are filled in on recall rather than entered before the interviewer gets to the household. This would permit some analyses of the differences between the two sorts of data, even in the absence of validating information.

In all of the household surveys, it seems to me that respondent rules ought to be tightened up. As long as the quarterly interview survey continues to exist, changed respondent rules could improve the accuracy of the data greatly, although at some cost in interviewer time and travel or phone use. We all know that households differ in their division of labor for who buys what and who pays for what. These "gatekeepers" are those who should be answering the questions about their areas of special knowledge. It would make sense to employ the laboratory to see if patterns of shopping can be isolated. If they can be identified, then each interview could start with some questions identifying the pattern for an individual CU and then proceed to pose particular expenditures to those most competent to answer them. Such a procedure would make sense for the diary as well.

This notion of working with the respondent(s) to improve accuracy has other applications as well. In the Consumer Expenditure Survey (and I guess across all of the surveys), the idea of telling the respondent the purpose of the questioning seems to me an awfully good one. Indeed, warning at the beginning of the first interview of the kind of things that are going to be asked for would help. (I understand that such a warning is available for later interviews.)

Along these same lines, one piece of research that might usefully be carried out would be to ask respondents to keep a diary using a completely blank form. This would have two possible functions. In an experimental mode it could give BLS a better idea of how respondents "think", how they classify expenditures, and perhaps clues to ways in which the diary form could be improved. If such a technique were to be used in production mode, it would be taking advantage of insights brought by cognitive psychology that interviewing is most accurate and exhaustive if respondents are permitted to first tell the story in their own words and then are questioned about details. (See Loftus, 1979; Yarmey, 1979.) (Note that this idea of making the task of filling out the questionnaire a cooperative one was brought up in the original CASM conference in reference to the National Health Interview Survey.)

I understand that research has been carried out on the form of the diary and more is in process. The two experimental diaries we were shown represent exciting possibilities, and I look forward to learning more about the results of these tests. Several ideas do occur to me. First, it seems to me a pity that both experimental forms released the respondent from the burden of supplying quantities of items purchased. It seems to me likely that the effect of the convenience of being released from that burden may swamp any effects due to the differences in exemplification between the experimental forms. Second, it seems to me that analysis of the results of this experiment should be informed by findings in cognitive psychology on part-whole cueing that under some circumstances short lists of examples give respondents leeway to construct longer lists of events (purchases) and under other circumstances the effect goes in the opposite direction. Conversely, sometimes long lists give the appearance of being exhaustive and thus discourage respondents from generating further examples. It is a pity that the research was not designed in the light of these findings, but surely analysis will profit by taking them into account. In the same research I worry about findings based on a question phrased "Do you understand the reason for the diary survey?" Issues of social desirability would seem to create validity problems for data collected in response to such a question.

Let me address a few minor, disconnected points that occurred to me.

In Eva Jacob' presentation it was noted that gasoline reporting was exceedingly good. I understand all the problems of verification, but let us for the moment accept that indeed those numbers <u>are</u> very good. What insights can be drawn from that -- what makes them so good and what can be done to make the reporting of other items equally good.

The discussion about whether the transcribed items ought to be read to the respondent in order to accomplish the bounding function is a very interesting one. Doesn't the Canadian labor force survey do so? It seems to me that this is a ripe field for experimentation.

In the interviewer's manual for the Consumer Expenditure Survey, the interviewer is instructed to fill in the example pages with the respondent using yesterday's expenditures (or if there were none yesterday, those for a "usual day"). While I understand the necessity of training respondents, it seems to me that this training is modelling two behaviors that BLS hopes the respondent will avoid in filling out the diary itself -- recall

rather than immediate recording and using sterotypic rather than actual expenditures. Can a better way of training be devised?

It is quite clear that the length of the Consumers Expenditure Survey Interview is far more than respondents (or interviewers) can tolerate with equanimity and accuracy. It seems to me that the projected experiment that will offer only some sections of the interview to groups of people is a good one. But it would be, it seems to me, far better to present randomly chosen sections, or in any case to at least have random halves of the interview. Notice that when the halves are always fixed, the covariances between certain expenditures, trade-offs between them, etc. are absolutely unavailable for analysis. Further, in the design of this experiment, one should take advantage of the structure of the survey itself. Thus, in order to increase precision it would be very useful to use interviewers as blocks and, despite the difficulties involved, to be sure that each interviewer gets to use all of the five forms. Steve Fienberg and I recently drafted and submitted for publication a paper dealing with such design issues. A copy is attached to this report.

The time use literature has been experimenting with blocking out the general form of the day with the respondent and then going back and filling in the details. Would a similar idea -- asking people for the places where they habitually shop and then going over each of those places to think of what they bought there -- help?

## Current Population Survey

For the CPS the idea that one can offer bounding information is again very important. I believe this is called "dependent interviewing" and I am all for it. Again, I believe the Canadian Labor Force Survey does this and it might make sense to borrow a leaf from their book, as well as to review the research leading up to their decision to use this method.

At the conference the idea of dealing with the unemployed and discouraged workers in the laboratory in order to understand problems of comprehension was questioned because of the rarity of these populations. Nobody talked about sampling from State Unemployment Office rosters of current and past recipients. Is the Federal-State cooperation sufficiently good to make this possible?

If hours of work are important to be gathered at least semi-accurately, then it seems to me they should be gathered in such a way that facilitates their accurate estimation, for they will often be estimated rather than recalled. Surely there are at least two kinds of people — those who punch a time clock or who are paid by the hour and thus know quite precisely the number of hours they worked last week, and those who do not. It seems to me that a question that would permit categorization of a respondent into one or the other of these categories should precede the question on hours of work. Then a very simple question could be asked of those who belong to the first group, and a series of more elaborate ones asked of those belonging to the second, guiding the respondent to estimate the hours last week in a useful way. We pointed out in the CPS that it would be particularly

hard for proxy respondents to report on whether someone who was not working was looking for work or out of the labor force. Since only a relatively small percentage of the sampled population falls into this gray area, perhaps a rule could be made that required in-person responding for any family member for which this classification was possibly problematic.

More generally on the issue of proxy and self-reporting, it seems to me that it should be standard procedure that a respondent should always be asked about him/herself first and then about any one for whome he/she is doing proxy reporting. The only way to model accuracy, it seems to me, is for the self-reporting to come first. A neat and rather simple laboratory study might be to check back with those for whom a proxy reported to see what correspondence there is between the two reports. As was pointed out at the conference, of course, there are problems of possible communication between members of the family between interviews, but at least we would get some lower bound on the amount of lack of correspondence there is.

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{I}}$  enclose the proposed test questionnaire with some comments scribbled on it.

## REFERENCES

Loftus, E. F. (1979). <u>Eye Witness Testimony</u>. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Yarmey, A. D. (1979). The Psychology of Eye Witness Testimony. New York: Free Press.

JT:ebp

Q15.	how I would like to ask a rem questions about	9208-1. NITERY	IEMER CHECK ITEM
	YOUR HOMOMERIALOG SERVICES LAST MEETING TO SEE		
	into reters to the week extending tros	"125"	in \$20EC (Skip to \$21)
	Sunday, September 7, through Saturday,	Ali oti	hersD (6c to 2205-3)
	September 13.		
	LAST NEEL, did you be any more at all, not by Read counting work around the nouse of the counting work around the counting work around the nouse of the counting work around the counting	2208-3, INTERV	IEWER CHECK ITEM
226.	LAST WEEK, did you od any work at all, not	TOTAL S	HOURS REFORTED IN 020E WERE:
	counting work around the house		
	We are	1-34 1	nours (Skie to
	Yes (Skip to 0200)	75+ no	ours (Skip to
	The same of the sa	Hours	vary each week
	Unable to workC (Asi 2208)		
		2205-4 INTERV	IEVER CHECK ITEM
2264	Does your disability completely incapacitate		
ETON.	was erne datas any rind of work disting the	*Yes* i	in 9205-1D (Skip to 9201)
	next a agning any kind of agriculturing the		n 620E-1D (Ask 920F)
-	THE E MODELLE AND A PARTY.	~~ ··	A STATE TATE OF TANKE STATE
	C /Ch. A. 753	C706 Mhat 14 5	the reason you USUALLY work tess than
	res C (Skip to EC7.	JS hours	
	NC C		
		(1	Mark the appropriate reason:
<b>C</b> 20E.	LAST WEEL, did you have a job or ousiness from	<b>C</b> 1	
	which you were temporarily absent or on layoff?		eork
	The second of th		ot want full-time work
	res C		ias work week under 35 hours0
	No C (Skip to 922)		fine only part-time work
	කරු ලැබී. සංක්වාදේ සි කිරු ව ලබාදීමේ සේ මි සි කරු පේ ද ප පළුත් කුණු ඒ සේ මෙම සේ සී මේ මේ මේ මේ මේ මේ		or personal colligations, except
<b>2</b> 261.	Did you have more than one job LAST WEEL, such		neelth or school
	as a part-time or weekend job? Do not include	Own hea	11th
	pos from which you are on lavoft.	School	or training
		Social	Security limits on marnings
	ies, more than one job - 0	Other	(Spec) fy)
	We, pnly one job C (Skip to R20E		`
	•		
2202.	How sany joos die you have?		,
		2006-1. INTERVI	IEWER CHECK ITEM
	•	TOTAL H	GURS REPORTÉD IN 820E MERE:
	•		
	4.	1-34 no	ours
			eary each weekO (Skip to \$201)
2205	Mow many nours per week or you USUALLY work at yours		
HIVE.	THE BEST HOUSE FOR SEES OF FOR DODNAL SOLVE AND A CONTROL OF	2706	. Old you work any overtime or extra
	(MAIN JORY OTHER JORGE) 12 47		include nours at all jobs.
	7	100.5	include mode 2 b. kir. jour.
	W W		now many additional hours?
		No C	60
		RC C	11
	44 44 3000	4	22
	55 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	1	II.
	66 6c 3 / / 2 \ _ /	7877	44
	$\eta = \eta + \eta + \eta$	1	<b>5</b>
	BB 88 $\eta_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}$	, ; ;	90
	pp or U minutes	1 , 1	$\eta$
	100	/ .	83
	TOTAL HOURS AT ALL ADRES (Skip to 0208-2)	J. F-	- <del>P9</del>
	Hours vary each weekD (Ask \$205-1)	1	•
	The state of the s		
220541	. Do you usually work 35 hours or more per week at		~
	your job(s)?		
	two yeartes.		
	res C		
	ne B		
	7 U		

\*

gan, LAST WEEk, did you lose or take off any hours	0.11	What was the mair reason you were absent trop
eros work, for any reason such as illness,		HOTE LAST WEEK
vacation, noiseay, labor dispute or layoff"		
(include hours at all jobs.)		On lavor (temporary or indefinite
		Hew job to begin within 30 days (Skip to 022
tes (0 >>>>>> How many hours?		Labor dispute
No C GO		Bac weather affected job
11		Un vacationC
22		Family or personal obligation EXCEPT own
23		illness, or matermity leave
44		Den illness, injury or disability
55		haternity or preonancy leave/paternityC
هٔهٔ		School or training
77		Civic or military duty
86		Other (Specify in notes)
00		
• ''	5577	**************************************
	REIM.	Are you receiving wages or salary troe your
[22. (If 'ves' in 9200, ass about MAIN job first.)		employer for any of the time off last week?
LAST MEEK, now many nours did you ACTUALLY work		
at Your		res C (Skip to R25)
		No O (Skap to 925)
(MAIN: JOB? DTHER JDR(S)?		
00 00 map. 00p.(2)		
• •		********
11 11	ezit.	Has your employer given you a specific date to return to work
22		
33 32		res D (Skip to Q21D)
44 44		No 0
\$5 55		
dá ód	8715	be you expect to be recalled to your job within the next a soil
		700 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
98 88		
**		YBL
99		Ma 0 (Stip to QZ2)
		Don't know[ (Ship to Q22)
TOTAL HOURS AT ALL JOES:		
	€21£.	Even though you eventually expect to be called back to work.
UU. INTERVIEWER CHECK ITEM		have you been looking for work during the past 4 weeks"
\$205 is 35+ hrs. AND \$201 (total) is less than 35 hrs(Ash \$20K)		185 i
All others		No Decrete
REL BURETS (SRIP CC Lab)		
**************************************		
Ok. What is the reason you worked less than		
JE hours LAST WEEK?		
(Mari the appropriate reason)		
•		<b>√</b> . ⊀
Slack work		
haterial shortage		
		$\gamma^* = \delta \varphi + \delta \gamma$
Plant or machine repair		
hew job started or ended during week		
holioay (legal or religious)		• /
Labor disputs		
Bas weather affected job		
Cwn illness, injury, medical appt		
Vacation/personal day		
Jot nours vary each week		
Family or personal obligations		•
School or training		
Other reason (Specify)		
SKIF TO GOT AND ENTER JOB WORKED AT LAST WEEK.		
*** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		

G218. Could you have taken a job LAS! HEE! I' one had been offered	Ris. have you been tooking for work o	uring the rast 4 weeks
res C (Skip to Q21F)	tes 6	
No E	Ho D (Skip to P23)	Ceron
	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF SECULA	đ
DIE-1. What is the reason you could not have taken a job LAST WEEP?	COOK. What have you deen doing during work? (Mark ALL methods used) di	
Aiready has a job	Hiter each response asi, "Anythi	40 Rise?*!
Den temporary :linessD	Snecked	
boing to school	with - public employment agenc	r C
Other (Specify) (Skip to 927)	private employment apen	:y 0
Market and alphabate and it is a factor of the contract of the	employer directly	· · · · ·
1F. As of the end of LAST WEEK, how many weeks had you been	friends or relatives	
on layoff?	Placed or answered ads	
	Hotning	
000-	Other(Specify in notes.)	0
111		
22	222E. Could you have taken a job LAST 1	(EE), if one had been offered
<u> 3</u>		
44	ies 0 (Skip to \$220)	
55	Nea O	
<del>6</del> 6		
	8225-1. What is the reason you could no	ot have taken a job LAST WEE
38		
00	Aiready has a job	
	Den temporary illness	
S. Is the job from which you are on layoff a suli-time or	Soing to school	(Skip to 927)
part-time job?	Other (Specify in nates)0	(Skip to £27)
Full-time C (Skip to GDS and enter	2222. As of the end of LAST WEEL, now (	MANY WEEKS DAG YOU
Part-time 0 )ob from which on layoff.)	been looking for work?	
	Por for the state of the	an skiffie
Service Day of Park 7	of the total	mis de freis
The second of the second	A CANADA	1 so de ato
	CIII. have you been looking for full-ti	me or part=time work
	full-time 0	
	Part-time (	
( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )		
	8222. At the time you started looking t	or work, was it
1, 171 Law	because you lost or quit a job or	was there some other
July 10.	reason?	
* 2		
$\mathcal{F}$	Los: 106	o to \$25)
1750	Guit job	
	Lett school	<del></del> -
$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}$	wanted temporary work	
	Change in mome or	
$r = \frac{1}{2}$	iaelly responsibilities.D	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
<u> </u>	Left military service0	
Justin Land	Other(Specify in notes)D	
	G228. Have you ever worked at a full-ta 1 consecutive weeks or more?	
	Yes	O (Skip to ESS and enter
		0 Job, or "Rever worke

persons the past II apontors

Q24. INTERVIEWER CHECK ITEM  Outdoing Rotation
Outgoine Rotation[ (Fill 924A)
All Other RotationsD (Skip to 827)
** * * * * *** min min min n n min n a min min min minima minima minima mana minin minin minin minin minin mini
024A. INTERVIEWER CHECK ITEM
Q20 is yes0 (Skip to Q24D)
222 is no'G (Ask G248)
with the the mast establishment than a site tills one a second on the second time the second time to be a second
224b. Do you mant a job now, either full or part-time?
Yes0
Maybe, it desenos0
Mc (5kip to @24D)
Don't know
224C. What are the reasons you are not looking for work?
(Mark Each reason mentioned:
BELIEVES NO WORK available in
line of work or area
COBLONIT FINE any work
LACKS HED. SCHOOLING, training,
skills or experience
Employers think TOU YOUNG or TOO OLDC
Other PERSONAL HANDICAP in finding job
Can t arrance CHILL CARE
FAHL responsibilities
in school or other training
li. nealth, physical disability
Other (Specify in notes,
Don't enow
8240. Have you worked at a job for pay at any time during
the past 12 months?
185 0
No 0 (Skip to R24F)
0241. What is the MA)k reason volume() that jot?
Personal, (amily, uncl. pregnancy:
keturn to school
mealthC
KEIIFPARCI OF DIE 408
Retormment or old age
Feeporary, seasonal or intermittant
Peaporary, seasonal or intermittant  Jou completed
Responsive Seasonal or Interestitant  Jou Completes
Responsive, seasonal or intermittant  Job completes
Responsive Seasonal or Interestitant  Jou Completes

26 - 39 -

₽2 <b>4</b> F.	Do you intend to look for work of any kind during the next 12 months?	GISF. INTERVIENER CHECK ITEM Enthy (or NA) in time G2010 (Skip to G26)
	it depends (Specify in notes)D	Entry (or NA) in R21A
	ka	
	Don t enow	Q26. INTERVIEWER CHECK (TEM
	(IF ENTRY IN 924E, DESCRIBE JOB IN 925: DIHERNISE SKIP TO 927.	Outgoing RotationD (Ask 826A) All other RotationsD (Skip to 828)
225.	DESCRIPTION OF JOB OF BUSINESS	Q26A. Are you paid by the dour on this job?
£25A.	for whom did you work? (Name of Company, business, organization or other employer.)	tes 0 No 0 (Skip to 026C)
		0266. Meat is your hourly rate of pay on this job, before deductions of any kind?
£25£.	What kind on dusiness or industry is this? (For example,	Dollars Cents
	T.V. and radio mio., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept.,	06 66
	fare.)	11 11
		22 22
		33
		44 44 (Srip to 0262) 55 55
		00 00 77 77
2251.	What tino of work were you doing? (For example: electrical	82 8P
	engineer, stock clerk, tyhist, farmer.	99 99
-		albl. How are you gaid on this jot? For example, are you gaid at a daily, weekly, monthly or annual rate, on a piecework or commission basis, or at some other rate?
2757	What were your most important activities or outles at this job	Darly rate
	(For example: types, keeps account books, files, sells cars,	Meekly rate
	operates printing press, finishes concrete	Biweekly rate
	·	honthiv rate
		rearly rate
		Salary plus Commissions
		Salary plus tips
	•	ûn a psecembr: basss
	***************************************	Un a commission basis
825E.	were you :	Some other was
	An employee of a PRIVATE Co., bus., or individual for wages, salary or comm	
	A FEDERAL government employee	
	A STATE government exployee	
	A LOCAL government employee	
	is the business incorporated?	
	YesD (Skip to 029)	•
	MoD (Skip to 928)	•
	MOTKING MITHOUT PAY in fee, bus, or fere	

£265.	What is your idaily, weekly, diweekly, monthly, yearly rate of pay on this job, before deductions of any kind?	<b>41</b> 1.	INTERVIEWED CHECK ITEM
	include any overtime pay, tips or commissions usually received.		Inis person is:
			14-4€ years of age U (Skip to G278)
	000 000		504 D (ASK 027A)
	11: 11)		
	222 222	027h.	Are you retired from a job or business?
	III III \		
	444 444 (Skie to 926F)		tes 0 (End puestions)
	ERE REF		No. 0
	pad bab		•
	777 777	0778	how would you describe your MAIN STATUS or activity at
	886 <b>88</b> 6		this time? For example, in school, persamently disabled.
	990 90C		temporarily ill or disabled, taking care of the house or family.
	111 111		Something eise?
526E.			Something eige:
E251.	How auch to you usually earn per week on this job, before deductions		16 school
	of any kind? Include any overtime pay, tips or commissions		
	usually received.		Permanentiy disabled
			lemporarily ill or disabled0
	000(-		Taking care of house/familyC
	1111		in retorement
	100 100		Something else/other
	5 <b>5</b> 5		
	444	£2£.	INTERVIENER CHECK ITEM
	Eff		This person is:
	òàs		le-24 years of age0 (Ask Q2BA)
	777		All others (End Questions)
	882		
	900	£286.	LAST WEEL, were you enrolled in a high school, college, or
	•		university? (Mark "Yes" if currently on holiday or seasons.
€26F.	On this job, are you a member of a lador union or of an employee association similar to a union?		vacation. Mark "ko" for summer vacation./
			tes (C 227/27/727/2) Higt School
	res C (Skip to G2B)		College or UniversityG
	Mc [		ks (Ent Euestions:
ಬಿಕ್ಟ	On this job, are you covered by a union or employee association contract?	£2 <b>8</b> £.	Are you enrolled in school as a full-time or part-time student.
			Full-time [
	ies 0 (Scie to 228:		Fart-time C
	No 0 (Skie to 828)		