Program Update:
Bureau of Justice Statistics
Overview of the National Crime Victimization Survey Redesign Process

20 January 2010
Presented by:
Michael Sinclair, Acting Director,
Michael Rand, Chief, Victimization Statistics Unit
Bureau of Justice Statistics
National Crime Victimization Survey Overview

- Measures national incidence of violent and property crimes and describes characteristics and consequences of victimization

- Rotating Panel Survey:
  - Six month reporting cycle: 41,000 households; 73,000 individuals
  - Sample households participate for seven periods/waves
  - Interviews all household members age 12 and older

- In-Person and phone interviewing via CAPI

- Stratified, multistage cluster design

- High response rate (about 90 percent)

- Ongoing since 1972

- Redesigned in 1992
Redesign Motivations

- Reasons for Redesign:
  - Survey not sustainable at historical funding levels
  - National Research Council recommendations
  - Requests for subnational data
  - Recent new funding

- Objectives of Redesign:
  - Operational and statistical efficiency
    - Reduce costs, streamline operations
    - Increase survey precision
  - Create ability to measure incidence at sub-national level
  - Monitor and improve data quality
  - Improve flexibility to address emerging crimes
  - Create data linkages for design and reporting
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inherent Issues</th>
<th>Potential Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity of Content</td>
<td>- New methods of interviewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- New sampling methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Incidence Rate</td>
<td>- New sampling methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Piggyback on other surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Small area estimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>- Quality improvement studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>- Build congressional and state support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Explore lower cost enumeration options</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenges Faced: Process Constraints

- **Process Constraints:**
  - **Balancing competing objectives**
    - Level of geography: city, state, or national level?
    - How often: Yearly estimates or multiyear averages?
    - What is measured?
  - **Best practices vary by objective**
    - Depth (understanding victimization)
    - Count (type, level and frequency).
  - Law enforcement sensitivity to production of city level estimates beyond UCR program.
Research underway in six major areas:

- Local area estimation (synthetic estimation)
- Interview mode
- Sample design
- Survey reference period
- Non-response bias
- Crime screener analysis
Current Status

- **2010**
  - Continue panel meetings
  - Conduct sample studies
  - Collect new para-data
  - Design redesign research/field tests and prepare solicitations/IAAs
  - Develop on-going data quality program

- **2011-2012**
  - Field testing of new methods
  - Synthesis of findings

- **2013-2014**
  - Finalize design and begin implementation of new program
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Component</th>
<th>FY 2009 Enacted Amount</th>
<th>President’s FY 2010 Request</th>
<th>FY 2010 House Mark (HR 2847)</th>
<th>FY 2010 Senate Mark (HR 2847)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Justice Statistics</td>
<td>$19.0 M</td>
<td>$19.0 M</td>
<td>$19.0 M</td>
<td>$5.0 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCVS</td>
<td>$26.0 M</td>
<td>$26.0 M</td>
<td>$26.0 M</td>
<td>$20.0 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCVS Redesign</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15.0 M</td>
<td>$15.0 M</td>
<td>$15.0 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$45.0 M</td>
<td>$60.0 M</td>
<td>$60.0 M</td>
<td>$40.0 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>